

1. रिट याचिका संख्या 44511 / 2010 संदीप सिंह बनाम उ०प्र० राज्य व अन्य
विषय—याची को उ०प्र०पुलिस आरक्षी भर्ती 09 की लिखित परीक्षा के पार्ट—दो व चार में निरस्त किये गये प्रश्नों के अंक नहीं दिये गये।
निर्णय— मा० उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद द्वारा निर्णय दिया गया कि

The petitioner was one of the candidates for the police recruitment held by the respondents. According to the petitioner, 4 questions in part II and 2 questions in part IV were not correct, therefore, the marks given by the respondents are not correct and their answer books may be re-examined. The Court has put a query to that effect to the Standing Counsel and today learned Standing Counsel has produced the instruction as well as the judgement passed by the Division Bench of this Court in Writ petition No.2669 (MB) of 2009, **Pawan Kumar Agarahari vs. public service Commission**

U.P and other. In the similar type of matter, the Division Bench of this Court has held that “ likewise in regard to the answers to the questions.....the experts have given their report and have relied upon the notal authorities.

We thus do not find merit in the challenge set forthwith by the petitioner. The writ petition is therefore, misconceived and is hereby dismissed”.

Further it is mentioned that due to incorrect questions in two papers, the marks of those questions have been included in the number of respective applicants and in spite of the aforesid facts, if a candidte has not obtained cut of f marks, then he has been include in the number of respective applicants and in spite of the aforesid facts,if a candidte has not obtained cut off marks, then he has been declared unqualified. From the explanaiton given, it does not appear that any fault has been committed by the respondents while examining the answer books of the various applicants.

In such circumstances, I am of opinion that this Court cannot become an examining body and therefore, no relief can be granted to the petitioner. The wirt petition is dismissed.