

Court No. - 18

Case :- SERVICE SINGLE No. - 952 of 2013

Petitioner :- Abhishek Kumar Singh

Respondent :- The State Of U.P.Throu Its Prin.Secy.Home Deptt.Lko.& Ors.

Petitioner Counsel :- Neeraj Sahu

Respondent Counsel :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Anil Kumar,J.

Heard learned counsel for parties and perused the record.

Facts of the present case are that an advertizement was issued for appointment on the post of Sub-Inspector (Civil Police) and Platoon Commander in the year 2011. In response to the said advertizement, the petitioner submitted his candidature, thereafter he appeared in preliminary written test which consists three section of General Knowledge -110 marks, Numerical Aptitude Test - 50 marks and Reasoning Test - 50 marks. Thereafter, as per on of the requirement, the candidate has to secure 50% marks in total aggregate and 40% marks in each subject.

Admittedly, form the perusal of the document which is annexed as Annexure No. 3 to the writ petition, the petitioner has secured secured following marks in the said subjects:-

General Knowledge - 63

Numerical Aptitude Test - 19

Reasoning Test - 25

Thus, it is submitted by learned State counsel that the petitioner does not fulfill the requisite criteria i.e. does not secure 40% marks in one of the subject i.e. Numerical Aptitude in the preliminary examination, hence he is not entitled for any relief in view of the judgment and order dated 23.01.2013 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 91 (SS) of 2013 (Kendra Kunwar Vs. State of U.P. and others) wherein the same controversy has taken into consideration.

Learned counsel for petitioner after arguing at some length, does not dispute the abvoesaid facts.

Thus, after going through the record and taking into the consideration the judgment and order dated 23.01.2013 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 91 (SS) of 2013 (Kendra Kunwar Vs. State of U.P. and others), the present writ petition lacks merit and is dismissed.

Order Date :- 27.2.2013

Ravi/