Court No. - 5

Case: - SERVICE SINGLE No. - 87 of 2013

Petitioner: - Mohd. Yunus

Respondent: - State Of U.P. Thru Prin.Secy.Home Civil Sectt.Lko.& Others

Petitioner Counsel: - B.R. Singh **Respondent Counsel:** - C.S.C.

Hon'ble Ritu Raj Awasthi, J.

Notice on behalf of the opposite parties has been accepted by the learned Chief Standing Counsel.

Heard learned counsel for the opposite parties.

The writ petition has been filed seeking direction to opposite parties to award marks of numerical and reasoning in combined manner in the written examination held on the post of Sub Inspector Civil Police (Ranker). Petitioner has also payed for quashing of the entire selection proceedings initiated vide notification dated 06.07.2010.

Learned Standing Counsel submits that the selection on the post of Sub Inspector Civil Police (Ranker) initiated vide notification dated 06.07.2010 has been finalized and selected candidates have been sent for training. Petitioner after participating in the selection has no right to challenge the same after being declared unsuccessful.

I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel the parties.

I am of the considered view that at this stage the procedure adopted for selection and for that purpose written examination held by the opposite parties cannot be put to judicial review, especially when the selection process has been completed and the result has been declared. The selected candidates have been sent for training.

It is also to be noted that the petitioner had participated in the said selection and after being declared unsuccessful has filed the present writ petition.

It is the settled view that unsuccessful candidates after participating in the selection process cannot challenge the same.

In this view of the matter, the writ petition being devoid of merit is dismissed.

Order Date :- 9.1.2013

Santosh/-